Chlorodifluoromethyl phenyl sulfone: a novel non-ozone-depleting substance-based difluorocarbene reagent for O- and N-difluoromethylations†‡

Ji Zheng, Ya Li, Laijun Zhang, Jinbo Hu, Gerrit Joost Meuzelaar and Hans-Jürgen Federsel

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 28th August 2007, Accepted 28th September 2007 First published as an Advance Article on the web 16th October 2007

DOI: 10.1039/b713156a

Chlorodifluoromethyl phenyl sulfone, a previously unknown compound that can be readily prepared from non-ODS-based precursors, was found to act as a robust difluorocarbene reagent for *O*- and *N*-difluoromethylations.

Selective introduction of fluorine atoms or fluorine-containing moieties into organic molecules is well-recognized as a powerful strategy to modulate the target molecules' physical, chemical and biological properties. As a result, the development of mild, efficient and environmentally friendly fluorination and fluoroalkylation methods is highly useful for life science- and material science-related research. 1,2 One major effort in the field is to develop new non-ODS-based fluoroalkylation methods (ODS = ozone-depleting substances) to replace the conventional ODSbased ones.³ For instance, most of the currently known difluorocarbene reagents for O- and N-difluoromethylations (Fig. 1), such as chlorodifluoromethane and chlorodifluoroacetic acid derivatives, are either ODS themselves or derived from ODS-based precursors. 4-6 Furthermore, although S-(difluoromethyl)diarylsulfonium tetrafluoroborate was developed as a new electrophilic difluoromethylating agent, this reagent could not transfer the difluoromethyl group to phenols and secondary amines.⁷ 2-Chloro-2,2-difluoroacetophenone (1) was developed by us as a non-ODS-based difluorocarbene reagent for O-difluoromethylation of phenol derivatives. However, the fact that a large excess of reagent 1 (≥ 5 equiv.) was generally required in reactions⁴ has driven us to seek more efficient non-ODS-based difluorocarbene reagents. In this communication, we disclose our recent success in developing chlorodifluoromethyl phenyl sulfone (PhSO₂CF₂Cl, 2)



Fig. 1 O- And N-difluoromethylations with difluorocarbene reagents.

as a robust non-ODS-based difluorocarbene reagent for O- and N-difluoromethylations.

Fluorinated sulfones have increasingly become a class of highly useful compounds in nucleophilic fluoroalkylations, but the reports on their use as difluorocarbene reagents are rare. Chlorodifluoromethyl phenyl sulfone (2) is a previously unknown compound. Initially, we prepared compound 2 in 79% yield through a nucleophilic (phenylsulfonyl)difluoromethylation reaction between difluoromethyl phenyl sulfone (3) and *N*-chlorosuccinimide (NCS, 3.4 equiv.) in the presence of lithium hexamethyldisilazide (LHMDS, 2.5 equiv.) in THF at -78 °C (Scheme 1, eqn (1)). To achieve a non-ODS-based practical synthesis of compound 2, we developed a three-step synthesis of 2 with good yield starting from readily available and inexpensive thioanisole (4). The synthetic procedures include chlorination with Cl₂, selective fluorination with Olah's reagent (HF–pyridine, molar ratio = 10:1), and oxidation with NaIO₄ (Scheme 1, eqn (2)).

With compound **2** in hand, we carried out the *O*-difluoromethylation reactions by using phenol (**7a**) as a model compound. The results are summarized in Table 1. Potassium hydroxide (25 wt% in H₂O) was used both as a base to deprotonate phenol (**7a**) and as an activating agent for reagent **2** to generate :CF₂ species. It was found that the reaction between phenol **7a** and reagent **2** (in a sealed pressure tube) was successful in different solvent systems (such as THF–H₂O, DME–H₂O, and CH₃CN–H₂O) at 50–80 °C. CH₃CN–H₂O (**7** : 2 v/v) was found to be the best solvent system for the reaction (Table 1, entries 6–10). Decreasing or increasing the reaction temperature did not show a significant impact on the product yield (entries 6–8). The optimal product yield (**7**2%) was obtained when the reaction proceeded at 50 °C for 5 h with reactant ratio **7a** : **2** : KOH = 1 : 2.3 : 11

Scheme 1 Synthesis of difluorocarbene reagent 2.

^aKey Laboratory of Organofluorine Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 354 Fenglin Road, Shanghai 200032, China. E-mail: jinbohu@mail.sioc.ac.cn; Fax: +86 21-64166128

^bGlobal Process R&D, Södertälje site, AstraZeneca, S-151 85 Södertälje, Sweden

[†] Zheng and Li contributed equally to this work.

[‡] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details and characterization data. See DOI: 10.1039/b713156a

Table 1 Survey of reaction conditions

Entry ^a	Reactant ratio (7a:2:KOH)	Solvent	Temperature/ °C	Time/h	Yield (%) ^b
1	1:2:11	Dioxane-H ₂ O	50	4	13
2	1:2:11	H ₂ O	50	4	0^c
3	1:2:11	THF-H ₂ O	80	4	56
4	1:2:11	DME-H ₂ O	80	6	64
5	1:2:16	$DME-H_2O$	60	6	63
6	1:2:11	CH ₃ CN-H ₂ O	50	4	68
7	1:2:11	CH ₃ CN-H ₂ O	60	4	65
8	1:2:11	CH ₃ CN-H ₂ O	80	4	67
9	1:2:5.5	CH ₃ CN-H ₂ O	60	6	68^d
10	1:2.3:11	CH ₃ CN-H ₂ O	50	5	72
11	1:2:11	CH ₃ CN	50	4	38^e
12	1:2:11	CH ₃ CN-H ₂ O	50	4	0^f
12	1:2:11	CH ₃ CN-H ₂ O	50	4	0^f

^a Typical reaction procedures: **7a** (1 mmol), KOH (25 wt% in H₂O, 2 mL, *ca*. 11 mmol), 7 mL of organic solvent (except entry 5) and **2** were mixed in a pressure tube at rt, and the tube was sealed. The reaction mixture was heated to the desired temperature for a certain time. ^b Yields were determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy using PhCF₃ as internal standard. ^c Even when the phase transfer catalyst tris[2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethyl]amine was added, no product was observed. ^d Half amount of KOH (25 wt% in H₂O, 1 mL, *ca*. 5.5 mmol) was applied. ^e Anhydrous KOH (instead of aqueous solution) was used. ^f K₂CO₃ (instead of KOH) was used as a base.

(entry 10). It was evident that water played an important role in the current difluorocarbene reaction; when the reaction was carried out under anhydrous conditions, a poor product yield (38%) was obtained (entry 11). However, the reactions in water alone or in the presence of a phase transfer catalyst (tris[2-(2-methoxyethoxy) ethyl]amine) could not give any desired product (entry 2). Furthermore, we found that potassium carbonate was not a suitable base (to replace KOH) for the reaction (entry 12).

Thereafter, we compared the reactivity of reagent **2** with those of other potential difluorocarbene reagents, including bromodifluoromethyl phenyl sulfone **9**, iododifluoromethyl phenyl sulfone **10**, difluoromethyl phenyl sulfone **3**, ^{8,9} and trifluoromethyl phenyl sulfone **11** (see Table 2). It was found that compounds **9**, **10** and **3** were also able to act as difluorocarbene reagents for *O*-difluoromethylation of phenol, while compound **11** did not show any

Table 2 Difluoromethylation with different sulfone reagents

OH + sulfone reagent
$$CH_3CN-H_2O$$
 CH_3CN-H_2O CH_3CN-

Entry ^a	Sulfone reagent	Yield (%) ^b
1	PhSO ₂ CF ₂ Cl (2)	72
2	$PhSO_2CF_2Br$ (9)	70
3	$PhSO_2CF_2I$ (10)	25
4	$PhSO_2CF_2H$ (3)	23
5	PhSO ₂ CF ₃ (11)	0

^a For all cases, the reactant conditions were similar to those of entry 10 in Table 1. ^b Yields were determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy using PhCF₃ as internal standard.

difluorocarbene character under similar reaction conditions (in the case of compound 11, CF₃H was formed as a major product). As shown in Table 2, compound 2 showed the highest reactivity among these five fluorinated sulfones.

Next, we carefully examined the scope of the *O*- and *N*-difluoromethylation reaction with reagent **2**. The results are summarized in Table 3 and Table 4. It was found that by using the **2**–KOH–CH₃CN–H₂O system, a wide range of phenol derivatives were readily difluoromethylated in good to excellent yields with a simple experimental procedure (Table 3). It is particularly remarkable that, although only 2.3 equiv. of reagent **2** were used, the product yields were still notably higher than those of the reported reactions using 5 equiv. of PhCOCF₂Cl reagent.⁴ Furthermore, the present difluoromethylation reaction was also found to be applicable to *N*-heterocyclic compounds **12a–12e** to give difluoromethylated products **13a–13e** in satisfactory product yields (see Table 4), which broadens the synthetic application of reagent **2**.

The synthetic application of reagent 2 was further demonstrated in the synthesis of the compounds 16 and 17, which are highly useful intermediates for making pharmacologically active

Table 3 O-Difluoromethylation with reagent 2

Entry ^a	Substrate	Product	Yield (%) ^b
1	он	OCF ₂ H 8a R = H	72 ^c
2 3 4 5 6 7		8b R = o-I	79
3	R∰	R = p-I	70
4	(7- 7:)	8d R = p-Br	74
5	(7a–7j)	(8a-8j) 8e R = p -Cl	65
6		$\mathbf{8f} \ \mathbf{R} = o\text{-}\mathbf{NO}_2$	93
7		$8g R = p\text{-NO}_2$	96
8		$\mathbf{8h} \ \mathbf{R} = p - t \mathbf{Bu}$	77
9		$\mathbf{8i} \ \mathbf{R} = p\text{-Ph}$	87
10	01	$8j R = o - OCH_3$	70°
11	CI	CI	83
	сі—(—)—он	CI—()—OCF ₂ H	
	(7k)	(8k)	
		• •	
12	OH	OCF ₂ H	95
	Br	Br	
) Br (7 I)	Br (81)	
13			94
	OH	OCF ₂ H	
	(7 m)	(8m)	
14	OH	OCF ₂ H	79
	(7n)	(8n)	
15	◇ < <p>OH</p>	OCF ₂ H	81
10			01
	(7o)	(8o)	
16			93
	// >—он	⟨	
	/=\N	N	
	\	(8p)	
	(7p)	(0)	

^a For all cases, the reactant conditions were similar to those of entry 10 in Table 1. ^b Isolated yields. ^c Yields were determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy using PhCF₃ as internal standard due to the volatile nature of the product.

Table 4 N-Difluoromethylation with reagent 2

Entry ^a	Substrate	Product	Yield (%) ^b
1	H N N (12a)	CF ₂ H	86
2	(12b)	CF ₂ H N (13b)	66
3	H N (12c)	CF ₂ H N N (13c)	69
4	Ph N-Ph N-Ph (12d)	Ph N-Ph N-Ph (13d)	58
5	O N-Ph (12e)	CF ₂ H O N-Ph (13e)	44

^a For all cases, the reactant conditions were similar to those of entry 10 in Table 1. b Isolated yield.

Scheme 2 Synthesis of compounds 16 and 17.

compounds. As shown in Scheme 2, the reaction between 2 and 3-bromo-5-chlorophenol (14) smoothly gave product 16 in 89% isolated yield. When the same procedure was applied to precursor 15, product 17 was obtained in 92% yield (Scheme 2). It is important to mention that the present new difluoromethylation method can be easily used in relatively large-scale reactions. For example, when 9.28 g (44 mmol) of compound 14 were treated

with 2.0 equiv. of reagent 2 under similar reaction conditions, product 16 was obtained in 85% yield.

In summary, we have successfully developed a non-ODS-based preparation of chlorodifluoromethyl phenyl sulfone (2). Compound 2 was found to be a novel and efficient difluorocarbene reagent for O- and N-difluoromethylation of phenols and N-heterocycles. The present synthetic methodology was successfully applied to the synthesis of two highly useful intermediates, 16 and 17, which are both relevant for the preparation of pharmaceutically interesting compounds. The present synthetic methodology promises to act as a useful synthetic tool for many other applications.

Support of our work by the NSF of China (20772144, 20502029), the Shanghai Rising-Star Program (06QA14063), the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Hundreds Talent Program), and AstraZeneca (Global Process R&D) is gratefully acknowledged.

Notes and references

- 1 (a) Organofluorine Compounds: Chemistry and Applications, ed. T. Hiyama, Springer, New York, 2000; (b) Organofluorine Chemistry: Principles and Commercial Applications, ed. R. E. Banks, B. E. Smart and J. C. Tatlow, Plenum, New York, 1994; (c) A. M. Thayer, Chem. Eng. News, 2006, 84(23), 15.
- 2 (a) K. Uneyama, Organofluorine Chemistry, Blackwell, Oxford, 2006; (b) P. Kirsch, Modern Fluoroorganic Chemistry: Synthesis, Reactivity, Applications, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004; (c) R. D. Chambers, Fluorine in Organic Chemistry, Blackwell, Oxford, 2004.
- 3 (a) S. Large, N. Roques and B. R. Langlois, J. Org. Chem., 2000, 65, 8848; (b) S. Ait-Mohand, N. Takechi, M. Medebielle and W. R. Dolbier, Jr., Org. Lett., 2001, 3, 4271; (c) G. K. S. Prakash, J. Hu and G. A. Olah, J. Org. Chem., 2003, 68, 4457.
- 4 L. Zhang, J. Zheng and J. Hu, J. Org. Chem., 2006, 71, 9848, and the references therein.
- 5 (a) T. G. Miller and J. W. Thanassi, J. Org. Chem., 1960, 25, 2009; (b) T. Y. Shen, S. Lucas and L. H. Sarett, Tetrahedron Lett., 1961, 2, 43; (c) B. R. Langlois, J. Fluorine Chem., 1988, 41, 247; (d) K. Morimoto, K. Makino and G. Sakata, J. Fluorine Chem., 1992, 59, 417; (e) A. Jończyk, E. Nawrot and M. Kisielewski, J. Fluorine Chem., 2005, 126, 1587.
- 6 (a) S. B. Christensen, H. E. Dabbs and J. M. Karpinski, WO 96/23754, 1996; (b) J. Z. Ho, C. S. Elmore, M. A. Wallace, D. Yao, M. P. Braun, D. C. Dean, D. G. Melillo and C.-Y. Chen, Helv. Chim. Acta, 2005, 88, 1040; (c) P. D. O'shea, C.-Y. Chen, W. Chen, P. Dagneau, L. F. Frey, E. J. J. Grabowski, K. M. Marcantonio, R. A. Reamer, L. Tan, R. D. Tillyer, A. Roy, X. Wang and D. Zhao, J. Org. Chem., 2005, 70, 3021; (d) M. Ando, T. Wada and N. Sato, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 3805.
- 7 G. K. S. Prakash, C. Weber, S. Chacko and G. A. Olah, Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 1863.
- 8 See a recent review: G. K. S. Prakash and J. Hu, Acc. Chem. Res., 2007, 40, DOI: 10.1021/ar700149s.
- 9 Previously, difluoromethyl phenyl sulfone (PhSO₂CF₂H) was reported as a poor difluorocarbene precursor (via decomposition of the PhSO₂CF₂) intermediate). See: (a) J. Hine and J. J. Porter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1960, 82, 6178; (b) G. P. Stahly, J. Fluorine Chem., 1989, 43, 53.